



PO Box 200701 Helena, MT 59620-0701
(406) 444-9947

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST

PART I. PURPOSE OF AND NEED FOR ACTION

1. Project Title: Hellgate Civilian Shooters Association

2. Type of Proposed Action:


- Replace an old wooden toilet with a new handicap accessible toilet and add an additional handicap accessible toilet.
- Install steps and handrails.

3. Location Affected by Proposed Action:

The Hellgate Civilian Shooters Association (HCSA) Deep Creek Range is located on 100 acres of leased state land, approximately, 7 miles northwest of Missoula, Montana at 17770 Deep Creek Road, Missoula, Montana 59804, Lat. 46.92581, Long. -114.24721.



Map 1 - Aerial Photo of the Hellgate Civilian Shooters Association Deep Creek Range.



Map2. Facilities Map for Hellgate Civilian Shooters Association Deep Creek Range.

4. Agency Authority for the Proposed Action: MCA 87-1-276 through 87-1-279 (Legislative established policies and procedures for the establishment and improvement of shooting ranges) and MCA 87-2-105 (Departmental authority to expend funds to provide training in the safe handling and use of firearms and safe hunting practices). The Montana Legislature has authorized funding for the establishment of a Shooting Range Development Program providing financial assistance for the development of shooting ranges. Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks (FWP) has responsibility for the administration of the program, including the necessary guidelines and procedures governing applications for funding assistance under the program.

To be eligible for grant assistance, a private shooting club or a private organization:

- (a)(i) Shall accept in its membership any person who holds or is eligible to hold a Montana hunting license and who pays club or organization membership fees;
- (ii) May not limit the number of members;
- (iii) may charge a membership fee not greater than the per-member share of the club's or organization's reasonable cost of provision of services, including establishment, improvement, and maintenance of shooting facilities and other membership services; and
- (iv) shall offer members occasional guest privileges at no cost to the member or invited guest and shall make a reasonable effort to hold a public sight-in day each September, when the general public may use the shooting range for a day-use fee or at no cost; or
- (b) Shall admit the general public for a reasonable day-use fee.

5. Need for the Action(s):

The wooden toilet in the campground is old, difficult to maintain in a sanitary condition, and is not handicap accessible. A toilet is needed west of the 100-yard firing range for convenience and sanitation purposes. There are no steps or handrails leading to the 50-meter firing line, which is a safety concern for shooters carrying rifles to the firing line. Due to the elevation gain from the parking lot to the firing line, a ramp would not be feasible.

6. Objectives for the Action(s):

The objectives of the proposed project are to provide sanitary, private, and handicap-accessible toilet facilities for each sex in the campground and near the 100-yard firing line and to install steps with handrails to the 50-meter firing range.

7. Project Size: estimate the number of acres that would be directly affected:

The Hellgate Civilian Shooters Association Deep Creek Range is located on 100 acres. The proposed improvements will cover a small portion of the 20-acre area that the parking area, campground, and 500-meter range cover.

8. Affected Environment (A brief description of the affected area of the proposed project):

The Deep Creek Range is located on 100 acres of land owned by Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks. The HCSA entered into a 10-year lease agreement with Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks in 2007. There are no permanent surface waters or wetlands on the property. The Deep Creek Range offers opportunities for several shooting sports, including cowboy action shooting, rifle silhouette, small-bore and center-fire rifle, Schutzen rifle, 1000-yard bench-rest rifle, Black Powder cartridge silhouette rifle, Black Powder cartridge long-range prone rifle, and high-power rifle.

9. Description of Project:

- Replace the wooden toilet in the campground with a handicap accessible toilet.
- Install a new handicap accessible toilet west of the 100-yard firing line.
- Install steps with handrails leading to the 50-meter firing line.

10. List any Other Local, State, or Federal Agency that has Overlapping or Additional Jurisdiction:

None

Permits, Licenses and/or Authorizations:

<u>Agency Name</u>	<u>Permit</u>	<u>Date Filed/#</u>
--------------------	---------------	---------------------

N/A

Funding:

<u>Agency Name</u>	<u>Funding Amount</u>
--------------------	-----------------------

Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks	\$12,053
--------------------------------	----------

11. Affiliations, Cooperating Agencies, User Groups and/or Supporting Groups:

The Hellgate Civilian Shooters Association (HCSA) Deep Creek Range is a private range for the use of paying members. Visitors can shoot free by obtaining a visitor's pass from the caretaker or the HCSA secretary/treasurer.

Organizations that have used the range in the past include: Missoula County Sheriff's Office, Boy Scouts, Montana Highway Patrol, Army Reserve, Montana National Guard, Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks, and U.S. Forest Service. In addition, the range is always open for Hunter Education Classes.

12. History of the Planning and Scoping Process, and Any Public Involvement:

Because the HCSA Deep Creek Range is a private nonprofit shooting range for members, there has been no public involvement in the planning process. Proposed range improvement proposals have been discussed with the club members and the associated project vendors and contractors.

13. List of Agencies Consulted/Contacted During Preparation of the EA:

Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks

14. Names, Address, and Phone Number of Project Sponsor:

Roger Hinthner, 3840 Spurgin Road, Missoula, MT 59804, (406) 543-3075.

15. Other Pertinent Information:

The HCSA is a nonprofit private shooting club. The Deep Creek Range provides a variety of rifle shooting facilities. The closest range offering cowboy action shooting is located 99 miles from the Deep Creek Range in Bigfork, MT; high-power rifle and center-fire silhouette rifle 110 miles in Rocker, MT; small-bore silhouette rifle 147 miles in Townsend, MT; and 100-yard range 315 miles in Rexford, ID.

Shooting range applications require the participating governing body to approve by resolution its submission of applications for shooting range-funding assistance. Resolution Date: April 23, 2013.

PART II. IDENTIFICATION OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVES

Alternative A, the Proposed Alternative, and Alternative B, the No Action Alternative, were considered.

- **Alternative A (Proposed Alternative)** is as described in Part I, paragraph 9 (Description of Project): to replace the toilet in the campground; install a new toilet west of the 100-yard firing line; and install steps with handrails to the 50-meter firing range. There are beneficial consequences to acceptance of the **Proposed Alternative**.
- **Alternative B (No Action Alternative)** Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks Shooting Range Development Grant money would be denied and the area will remain as an active shooting range without the proposed improvements. The no action alternative would have no significant negative environmental or potentially negative consequences. The range will continue on with present conditions. Land use would remain the same.

Description and analysis of reasonable alternatives (including the no action alternative) to the proposed action whenever alternatives are reasonably available and prudent to consider and a discussion of how the alternatives would be implemented: Only the proposed alternative and the no action alternative were considered. There were no other alternatives that were deemed reasonably available, nor prudent. Neither the proposed alternative nor the no action alternative would have significant negative environmental or potentially negative consequences.

Describe any Alternatives considered and eliminated from Detailed Study:

None. Only the proposed alternative and the no action alternative were considered. There was no other alternative that were deemed reasonably available, or prudent. Neither the **Proposed Alternative** nor the **No Action Alternative** would have significant negative environmental or potentially negative consequences.

List and explain proposed mitigating measures (stipulations): None

PART III. ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

Abbreviated Checklist – The degree and intensity determines extent of Environmental Review. An abbreviated checklist may be used for those projects that are not complex, controversial, or are not in environmentally sensitive areas.

Table 1. Potential impact on physical environment.

Will the proposed action result in potential impacts to:	Unknown	Potentially Significant	Minor	None	Can Be Mitigated	Comments Below
1. Unique, endangered, fragile, or limited environmental resources				X		
2. Terrestrial or aquatic life and/or habitats				X		#2
3. Introduction of new species into an area				X		
4. Vegetation cover, quantity & quality				X		
5. Water quality, quantity & distribution (surface or groundwater)				X		#5
6. Existing water right or reservation				X		
7. Geology & soil quality, stability & moisture				X		
8. Air quality or objectionable odors				X		#8
9. Historical & archaeological sites				X		#9
10. Demands on environmental resources of land, water, air & energy				X		
11. Aesthetics				X		

2. & 5. There are no delineated wetlands and no natural water sources within the area proposed for development. No critical wildlife habitat would be affected. Any resident or transient wildlife may leave the immediate area during road re-surfacing activity.

8. Minor and temporary dust and vehicle emissions would be created by construction equipment during installation of the steps. However, the construction time is short and human effects will be limited due to the sparse population in the surrounding area.

9. This project uses no federal funds nor does it take place on state owned or controlled property; therefore, the Federal 106 Regulations and the State Antiques Act do not apply.

Table 2. Potential impacts on human environment.

Will the proposed action result in potential impacts to:	Unknown	Potentially Significant	Minor	None	Can Be Mitigated	Comments Below
1. Social structures and cultural diversity				X		
2. Changes in existing public benefits provided by wildlife populations and/or habitat				X		
3. Local and state tax base and tax revenue				X		
4. Agricultural production				X		
5. Human health				X		#5
6. Quantity & distribution of community & personal income				X		
7. Access to & quality of recreational activities				X		#7
8. Locally adopted environmental plans & goals (ordinances)				X		
9. Distribution & density of population and housing				X		
10. Demands for government services				X		
11. Industrial and/or commercial activity				X		

5. The proposed installation of toilets, steps, and handrails would improve the safety and sanitation for members and the public using the range.

7. The proposed improvements to the HCSA Deep Creek Range will improve the quality of the shooting sports within the community.

PART IV. NARRATIVE EVALUATION AND COMMENT

All of the pertinent or potential impacts of the project have been reviewed, discussed, and analyzed. None of the project reviewed were complex, controversial, or located in an environmentally sensitive area. The projects being implemented are already on an existing range/alterd areas that together with the insignificant

environmental effects of the proposed action, indicates that this should be considered the final version of the environmental assessment. There are no significant environmental or economic impacts associated with the proposed alternative.

The HCSA proposed alternative, to provide a safe, regulated shooting opportunity, is supported by its members and the public. Therefore, Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks should approve the proposed alternative (A) for the improvements as outlined in Part I, Paragraph 9.

PART V. ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

Does the proposed action involve potential risks or adverse effects, which are uncertain but extremely harmful if they were to occur? No

Does the proposed action have impacts that are individually minor, but cumulatively significant or potentially significant? Individually, the proposed actions have minor impacts. However, it was determined that there are no significant or potentially significant cumulatively impacts. Cumulative impacts have been assessed considering any incremental impact of the proposed action when they are combined with other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions, and no significant impacts or substantially controversial issues were found. There are no extreme hazards created with this project and there are no conflicts with the substantive requirements of any local, state, or federal law, regulation, standard or formal plan.

Recommendation and justification concerning preparation of EIS:

There are no significant environmental or economic impacts associated with the proposed alternative; therefore, an EIS is not required.

PART VI. EA CONCLUSION SECTION

Individuals or groups contributing to, or commenting on, this EA:

- Roger Hinthier, 3840 Spurgin Road, Missoula, MT 59804, (406) 543-3075.
- MT Fish Wildlife and Parks

EA prepared by:

ANDREA DARLING

Darling Natural Resource Consulting
Montana City, MT 59634

Date Completed:

July 24, 2013

Describe public involvement, if any:

This draft EA will be advertised on FWP's web site and through a legal ad in the *Missoulian*, *Missoula*, *MT* announcing a public comment period. A press release will also announce the project and comment period.